



PO Box 271227 + Flower Mound, TX + 75027 + 1-800-803-0118 + www.dritaly.com

Pelosi Continues to Roil Bishops

September 4th, 2008 by Catholic League

On August 24, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi told Tom Brokaw on NBC's "Meet the Press" that the Catholic Church has not been able to decide when life begins; she was quickly criticized by many bishops and lay Catholics (including the Catholic League) for misrepresenting the Church's teaching. No source of criticism was more important than that of the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops (USCCB).



Three days later, Pelosi's office issued a statement acknowledging that the Church teaches that life begins at conception. However, it also said that St. Augustine offered a different perspective and opined that "many Catholics do not ascribe to that [the Church's] view."

Yesterday, the USCCB issued another statement (see below): it is a two-page reply that offered a historical account of the Catholic Church's teaching on abortion. The release makes it clear that the Church has always been unequivocally opposed to abortion. The new statement was written to "help end confusion caused by recent misrepresentations of Catholic Church teaching on abortion," a process that was "prompted by misleading remarks by Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi."



Catholic League president Bill Donohue weighed in as follows: "Nancy Pelosi continues to give currency to the idea that the Church's teaching on abortion has evolved over time, and that in any case there is more than one legitimate Catholic position on this subject. She is twice wrong. It is precisely because of people like Morton Kondracke of the Fox News Channel, Anthony Stevens-Arroyo of the *Newsweek-Washington Post* 'On Faith' blog site, and anti-Catholic groups such as Catholics for Choice, that the confusion continues to rage. All of them defend Pelosi's ignorant remarks.

"Nineteen Catholic members of the House have publicly asked Pelosi to 'correct the public record' on this issue. Her steadfast refusal to do so is keeping this matter alive. One wonders what it will take to get her to retract her comments before more damage is done to the Catholic Church, to her and to the Democratic Party."

PO Box 271227 + Flower Mound, TX + 75027 + 1-800-803-0118 + www.dritaly.com

Respect for Unborn Human Life: The Church's Constant Teaching
Fact sheet by the USCCB Committee on Pro-Life Activities.

The Catechism of the Catholic Church states: "Since the first century the Church has affirmed the moral evil of every procured abortion. This teaching has not changed and remains unchangeable. Direct abortion, that is to say, abortion willed either as an end or a means, is gravely contrary to the moral law" (No. 2271).



In response to those who say this teaching *has* changed or is of recent origin, here are the facts:


- From earliest times, Christians sharply distinguished themselves from surrounding pagan cultures by rejecting abortion and infanticide. The earliest widely used documents of Christian teaching and practice after the New Testament in the 1st and 2nd centuries, the *Didache* (Teaching of the Twelve Apostles) and *Letter of Barnabas*, condemned both practices, as did early regional and particular Church councils.
- To be sure, knowledge of human embryology was very limited until recent times. Many Christian thinkers accepted the biological theories of their time, based on the writings of Aristotle (4th century BC) and other philosophers. Aristotle assumed a process was needed over time to turn the matter from a woman's womb into a being that could receive a specifically human form or soul. The active formative power for this process was thought to come entirely from the man – the existence of the human ovum (egg), like so much of basic biology, was unknown.
- However, such mistaken biological theories never changed the Church's common conviction that abortion is gravely wrong at every stage. At the very least, early abortion was seen as attacking a being with a human destiny, being prepared by God to receive an immortal soul (cf. Jeremiah 1:5: "Before I formed you in the womb, I knew you").
- In the 5th century AD this rejection of abortion at every stage was affirmed by the great bishop-theologian St. Augustine. He knew of theories about the human soul not being present until some weeks into pregnancy. Because he used the Greek Septuagint translation of the Old Testament, he also thought the ancient Israelites had imposed a more severe penalty for accidentally causing a miscarriage if the fetus was "fully formed" (Exodus 21: 22-23), language not found in any known Hebrew version of this passage. But he also held that human knowledge of biology was very limited, and he wisely warned against misusing such theories to risk committing homicide. He added that God has the power to make up all human deficiencies or lack of development in the Resurrection, so we cannot assume that the earliest aborted children will be excluded from enjoying eternal life with God.
- In the 13th century, St. Thomas Aquinas made extensive use of Aristotle's thought, including his theory that the rational human soul is not present in the first few weeks of pregnancy. But he also rejected abortion as gravely wrong at every stage, observing that it is a sin "against nature" to reject God's gift of a new life.
- During these centuries, theories derived from Aristotle and others influenced the grading of penalties for abortion in Church *law*. Some canonical penalties were more severe for a direct abortion after the stage when the human soul was thought to be present. However, abortion at all stages continued to be seen as a grave moral evil.

- From the 13th to 19th centuries, some theologians speculated about rare and difficult cases where they thought an abortion before “formation” or “ensoulment” might be morally justified. But these theories were discussed and then always rejected, as the Church refined and reaffirmed its understanding of abortion as an intrinsically evil act that can never be morally right.
- In 1827, with the discovery of the human ovum, the mistaken biology of Aristotle was discredited. Scientists increasingly understood that the union of sperm and egg at conception produces a new living being that is distinct from both mother and father. Modern genetics demonstrated that this individual is, at the outset, distinctively human, with the inherent and active potential to mature into a human fetus, infant, child and adult. From 1869 onward the obsolete distinction between the “ensouled” and “unensouled” fetus was permanently removed from canon law on abortion.
- Secular laws against abortion were being reformed at the same time and in the same way, based on secular medical experts’ realization that “no other doctrine appears to be consonant with reason or physiology but that which admits the embryo to possess vitality from the very moment of conception” (American Medical Association, *Report on Criminal Abortion*, 1871).
- Thus modern science has not changed the Church’s constant teaching against abortion, but has underscored how important and reasonable it is, by confirming that the life of each individual of the human species begins with the earliest embryo.
- Given the *scientific* fact that a human life begins at conception, the only moral norm needed to understand the Church’s opposition to abortion is the principle that *each and every human life has inherent dignity, and thus must be treated with the respect due to a human person*. This is the foundation for the Church’s social doctrine, including its teachings on war, the use of capital punishment, euthanasia, health care, poverty and immigration. Conversely, to claim that some live human beings do *not* deserve respect or should *not* be treated as “persons” (based on changeable factors such as age, condition, location, or lack of mental or physical abilities) is to deny the very idea of *inherent* human rights. Such a claim undermines respect for the lives of many vulnerable people before and after birth.

For more information: Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, *Declaration on Procured Abortion* (1974), nos. 6-7; John R. Connery, S.J., *Abortion: The Development of the Roman Catholic Perspective* (1977); Germain Grisez, *Abortion: The Myths, the Realities, and the Arguments* (1970), Chapter IV; U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, *On Embryonic Stem Cell Research* (2008); Pope John Paul II, *Evangelium Vitae* (1995), nos. 61-2.

"I Believe" - The Heart of Catholic Faith
by: Marcellino D'Ambrosio, Ph.D.

This dynamic, four-part series, Dr. D'Ambrosio explains how the very heart of the Christian **faith** is all about intimate, *personal relationships*. It's about a community of love between three divine persons, and the powerful, loving way that each of those Divine Persons breaks into our world to transform our lives. This series explains how faith is not irrational but the most reasonable response possible to God's amazing deeds.



"*I Believe*" follows the outline of the Nicene Creed, **but don't be mistaken**--it is far from a stodgy or academic presentation of tenets and beliefs! Full of enlightening metaphors and colorful anecdotes, "*I Believe*" leads its audience not only to clearer understanding of the faith, but a deeper love relationship with God the Father, Son, and Spirit. "*I Believe*," available in either audio or video format, is perfect either for teens or adults, for individual study, or use by RCIA/Adult Faith sharing groups.

[I Believe - DVD - \\$49.95](#)

[I Believe - CD - \\$19.95](#)

[I Believe - Workbook - \\$6.95](#)

Taking Aim at the Tough Issues!

A lot of people skirt the difficult issues. In this hard hitting series of two talks, Marcellino D'Ambrosio takes dead aim at the most controversial of moral issues sharing the wisdom of the Catholic tradition in a way that makes eminent sense.

In the first talk entitled "[Theology of the Body,](#)" he lays down some basic principles that provide answers for all the tough intimacy questions including dating, marriage and contraception.

In the second talk, "[Matters of Life and Death,](#)" he shows why there is a great difference between such issues as abortion and euthanasia on the one hand and capital punishment and war on the other.

Often, discussions of these issues generate more heat than light. This series, appropriate for both adults and teens, is refreshingly different.

